



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

proportions that are too large for him. But we must award to him the praise of copious erudition, and justice in his literary judgments. He shows, also, great ability in the analysis of character, and the unfolding of motives. The article on Ignatius Loyola and his associates displays this quality very fully. The paper of the most general interest is that on the Port Royalists. The article on Whitfield and Froude will be read with pleasure in these days of Puseyism.

NOTE

TO ARTICLE IX. OF NUMBER 120.

Mr. William Sturgis, of Boston, has published in one of the daily prints of the city a communication in reply to our article on "The Mutiny of the Somers." At his request, we copy from his remarks the following statement.

"Speaking of the decision of the *Court Martial*, the Reviewer says, 'by their final report Commander Mackenzie was *honorably acquitted* of all the charges and specifications preferred against him by the Secretary of the Navy;' the words *honorably acquitted* are given in italics, to mark the importance which the reviewer attaches to them, and he subsequently twice speaks of the *honorable* acquittal of Commander Mackenzie. The decision of the Court Martial, as officially given, was something very different from an *honorable* acquittal. It is given in the 'Madisonian' of April 13, upon each of the charges and specifications that it 'is not proven,' and 'the Court do therefore acquit Commander Alexander S. Mackenzie of all the charges and specifications preferred against him, by the Secretary of the Navy.' It is added, 'As these charges involved the life of the accused, and as the finding is in his favor, he is entitled to the benefit of it, as in the analogous case of a verdict of not guilty before a civil court, and there is no power which can constitutionally deprive him of that benefit. The finding, therefore, is simply *confirmed*, and carried into effect without any expression of approbation on the part of the President; no such expression being necessary.' In colder language an acquittal could hardly be expressed."

The report of the sentence of the Court Martial was copied in perfectly good faith into the Review from the "Boston Atlas" of April 12th, 1843, the only newspaper that was within reach, which contained a full account of the proceedings at the trial. It appears, that the 'Madisonian' gives a different version of the sentence, and although this paper has no claim to be considered as the *official* organ of the government, no newspaper being recognised as such in this country, we are satisfied that its version is the correct one, and are quite willing, therefore, to place it before our readers.
